

3-23-2015

## MCSA Forum minutes 03/23/2015

Morris Campus Student Association

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.morris.umn.edu/mcsa>

---

### Recommended Citation

Morris Campus Student Association, "MCSA Forum minutes 03/23/2015" (2015). *Morris Campus Student Association*. 33.  
<http://digitalcommons.morris.umn.edu/mcsa/33>

This Minutes is brought to you for free and open access by the Campus Governance at University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well. It has been accepted for inclusion in Morris Campus Student Association by an authorized administrator of University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well. For more information, please contact [skulann@morris.umn.edu](mailto:skulann@morris.umn.edu).

**Memo to:** MCSA Forum Members  
**From:** MCSA Executive Committee  
**Subject:** Forum Agenda for Monday March 2nd, 2015.

## **I. Open Forum**

### **A. Homecoming Rebranding *Presented by CAC HAT Co-Chairs Rebecca Schrupp, Tracy Chen, Alison Bean***

1. Bean and Schrupp came to forum to ask for ideas on improving the Homecoming experience. Attendance and student participation has been lacking in the past years, and they would like student input on how to better this event. Schrupp will be at upcoming MCSA Forum meetings to collect feedback.
2. Maudal would like to see athletics schedules correspond with the football games.
3. Koester noted that CAC should check major calendars for other holidays and events before planning a date for Homecoming.
4. Wolf will have Sunderman pass on contact information for the CAC Co-Chairs.

## **II. For Action: Approve Agenda**

- a. Koester motioned to approve the agenda; second by Wolf
- b. motion carried.

## **III. For Action: Approve Minutes**

- a. Jacobson motioned to approve minutes; second by Prince
- b. These minutes will be made public after this meeting.
- c. motion carried.

## **IV. President's Remarks**

- a. Wolf stated that Tech Fee went very well. She thanks MCSA for their participation in this event.
- b. Wolf congratulated all newly elected representatives, and noted that the final Forum is next week.
- c. Wolf stated that committee attendance is still very important.
- d. Campus Assembly will still be meeting through April. New members are welcome to attend. The Constitution Review Committee will be presenting their work at this meeting, including removing student representation from Faculty and P&A Committee.
- e. Please communicate with the new administration toward the end of the year. Blodgett will also be holding a celebration at her house.

**V. Committee Reports- MCSA Committees**

- a. Executive Committee will be meeting next Thursday and signing brick.
- b. Resources and Operations met this week and will meet next week.
- c. Tuesday will be the filming of the MCSA wrap-up address for the Campus Relations Committee. Thursday will be a paw sale, and a tabling sheet will be sent out to everyone.
- d. QIC is looking to collect queer demographics when students are entering UMM. There will be a resolution coming forth asking MCSA to back QIC in pushing this movement forward on campus. Please contact Koester for more information.
- e. First Year Council started tabling for the library beautification project. Please help table for this event.

**VI. Committee Reports- Campus Assembly**

- a. Scholastic Committee discussed language requirements of International Students. They may raise the requirements. They also discussed the language requirements in regards to students with disabilities.
- b. Assessment met last Tuesday to make amendments to the student learning outcome surveys.
- c. Constitutional Review Committee has nine amendments that will be coming forth to Campus Assembly next Tuesday.
- d. Student Affairs would like to thank everyone for their feedback in regards to the Student Leadership GPA Policy.

**VII. Organization Reports**

- a. CNIA is hosting the Powwow this weekend. They are looking for volunteers. Volunteers will receive free pizza and pop. Please email Goodsell for more information.
- b. MoQsie is holding elections in the next few weeks. Please consider running for their board. They meet Wednesdays at 8pm.
- c. The UR will be publishing an issue this week. UR elections are coming up as well.
- d. Men and Women's Rugby will be heading to Nebraska.
- e. AISES sent students to the Leadership Conference. They will be presenting next Monday at the ISES meeting.

**VIII. Old Business**

- a. None

**IX. New Business**

**a. For Action:**

- i. AFRC Allocation Appeal Hearing (5 minutes per side)

1. Prince motioned to open hearing; second by Koester
  - a) Israels-Swenson noted that MCSA's role is to determine whether AFRC followed procedure in the allocation. The monetary amount is irrelevant in this discussion. A new hearing will be granted if MCSA finds the previous hearing to be unjust.
  - b) MPIRG presented their arguments for a new hearing.
    - (1) MPIRG believes that there was a miscommunication between AFRC and MPIRG. Wentz noted that MPIRG received an 1,800 dollar cut to their proposed budget.
    - (2) The communication was not satisfactory in the eyes of MPIRG. MPIRG would have liked more time to properly review their budget.
      - (a) The contact for the AFRC only reached out eight days prior to the actual hearing. Wentz reached out to Olson to clarify times for the hearing, etc. Olson failed to respond to these emails.
      - (b) The lack of response to this email hindered MPIRG's abilities to communicate to their state leadership board. They needed more time to properly communicate with these individuals.
  - c) Miller presented on behalf of AFRC
    - (1) AFRC dates have been published on the website. Miller noted that all dates are pretty similar from year to year. Hearings were held on February 20th, and emails were sent out on February 12.
    - (2) Mandatory student organization meetings highlight important AFRC information. There was an additional meeting in January that provided additional AFRC information and deadlines.
    - (3) This information has been publicized and should not have been a surprise.
  - d) Discussion was opened up to Forum
    - (1) The meaning of an abstention was reviewed.
    - (2) Only members of Forum are able to vote.
    - (3) There are 20 members of forum this evening, which means we meet quorum.
    - (4) Koester believes that AFRC was very disorganized this spring. There was a new system, and a lack of communication. Functioning on a state level requires

MPIRG to receive additional consultation, and they did not have sufficient time to receive these consultations.

- i. Wolf noted that group consultations are not a part of the guidelines.
- ii. Wentz noted that guidelines are not binding.
- iii. Jacobson noted that reaching out to student groups may not be valid. However, she is concerned that emails to AFRC went unanswered and asked for further information.
- iv. Wentz noted the emails pertained to the due date and procedure for budgetary increase. There were two unanswered emails.
- v. Wentz believed they needed this information to organize their budget at the state level.
- vi. Jacobson feels inclined to grant MPIRG's appeal.
- vii. MPIRG contacted Arnold and he directed them to their appointed representative.
- viii. Wealot asked for clarification as to what the actual argument of the appeal is; Wentz noted that MPIRG needed more guidance on the new process and how to increase their budget.
- ix. Miller noted that there was a new process for this spring through Campus Connections.
- x. Kim asked if they attended the meeting, they did.
- xi. Batchenkova asked if they can change their request, they can only modify their presentation.

(5). Motion Passes. MPIRG will have a new AFRC hearing.

ii. CNIA Co-Sponsorship *Presented by Representative Goodsell*

- a. Koester motioned to approve co-sponsorship; second by Jacobson
- b. Pow Wow is this weekend. Goodsell noted that CNIA forgot to place a line item in the budget for technology crew. This co-sponsorship will help with this need. Student Activities will not be helping with cost sharing.
- c. Miller believes that the budget is able to handle this co-sponsorship.
- d. Motion Passes

**B. For Information:**

*Morris Campus Student Association*  
University of Minnesota - Morris  
Morris, Minnesota 56267

1. Steering and Membership Nominations *Presented by President Wolf*
  - a. Wolf noted that MCSA needs to provide three individuals for each committees.
  - b. Steering Committee is the Executive Committee for Campus Assembly. You must be a member of Campus Assembly to be a part of these committees.
  - c. Membership Committee oversees all committees and appoints chairs.
  - d. Kim nominated Wu for Membership Committee; Wu declined
  - e. Wealot nominated Wu for Steering; declined
  - f. Wealot nominated himself for Steering
  - g. Wealot nominated Tipping for Steering; accepted
  - h. Wray nominated himself for Membership
  - i. Wealot nominated Gill for Membership; accepted
  - j. Forney nominated himself for Steering; accepted
  - k. Dares nominated herself for membership; accepted
  - l. All candidates must attend the next Campus Assembly meeting on March 31st.
2. Library Beautification & Tabling *Presented by Representative Wu*
  - a. FYC is raising money to purchase a chair, table, and couch
3. Minimum Sanction *Presented by President Wolf*
  - a. Wolf attended the first Student Body President meeting and discussed resolutions brought forth by the Twin Cities Campus.
  - b. The guidelines behind this create a standardized policy for dealing with sexual assault cases on all campuses.
  - c. Please review this resolution for next week.
  - d. The Student Senate will be voting on these resolutions next Thursday
  - e. Bereavement Resolution *Presented by President Wolf*
    - a. This resolution discusses bereavement periods, and the concerns that have been brought forth by the Twin Cities campus.
    - b. The resolution defines relationships and how the travel distance of the bereavement will be considered.
    - c. Koester asked if this is inclusive to religious and cultural practices that take place for an extended period of time.

- d. Stangel noted that this resolution does not include cultural practices that take place for extended period of time.
  - e. Wolf is open to feedback and would like suggestions sent to her this week.
4. Cougar Pawsitivity Tabling *Presented by Secretary Koester*
- a. Please sign up to table. The tabling process is very simple.
5. Tech Fee Guideline Amendments *Presented by Secretary Wealot*
- a. Koester is concerned that there may be new software that could be new technology to campus, and would like to see a change in wording to reflect recurring licensing fees.
  - b. Wealot noted that there should be a set place in organization's budgets for licensing fees. It may be troublesome to cut funding for licensing of some groups.
  - c. Wealot noted that Chancellor Johnson believes that there should be other avenues of funding for software licensing.
  - d. Batchenkova asked if there are other avenues for software licensing. Wealot noted that there are not currently avenues of funding. She suggests a one-year grace period for this amendment.
  - e. Email Wealot with suggestions

## **X. Announcements**

- a. check in with Wolf for attendance
- b. Secretary applications are due on Friday. Please apply for these positions.

## **XI. Adjourn**

- a. Wolf motioned to adjourn; second by Lerner
- b. Adjourn 7:15 pm

## **I. Open Forum**

- a. None presented.

**II. For Action: Approve Agenda**

- a. Dial moved to approve agenda; second by Carlson.
- b. Motion carried.

**III. For Action: Approve Minutes**

- a. Prince moved to approve minutes; second by Lerner.
- b. Motion carried.

**IV. President's Remarks**

- a. Wolf stated that Tech Fee has gone very well so far this year.
- b. Wolf stated this is the 3rd to last forum under the Wolf-Schroeder administration.
- c. Wolf stated there will be no forum on March 16th.
- d. Wolf stated election emails were sent out this morning to vote for Campus Governance.

**V. Committee Reports**

**a. MCSA Committees**

**i. Academic Affairs**

- 1. None presented.

**ii. Campus Relations**

- 1. Meeting tomorrow at 4pm; filming with Improv.
- 2. The week after spring break is the goal to send Cougar Pawsitivity; a spreadsheet will be sent out for tabling.

**iii. Executive Committee**

- 1. None presented.

**iv. First Year Council**

- 1. None presented.

**v. Resources and Operations**

- 1. None presented.

**vi. Student Services**

- 1. None presented.

**b. Campus Assembly Committees**

**i. Assessment of Student Learning**

- 1. None presented.

- ii. Academic Support Services
  - 1. None presented.
- iii. Consultative
  - 1. None presented.
- iv. Curriculum
  - 1. None presented.
- v. Faculty and P&A Affairs
  - 1. None presented.
- vi. Faculty Development
  - 1. None presented.
- vii. Finance
  - 1. None presented.
- viii. Functions and Awards
  - 1. None presented.
- ix. International Programs
  - 1. None presented.
- x. Membership
  - 1. None presented.
- xi. Multi-Ethnic Experience
  - 1. None presented.
- xii. Planning
  - 1. None presented.
- xiii. Scholastic
  - 1. None presented.
- xiv. Steering
  - 1. Meeting March 16th at 8:00am.
- xv. Student Affairs
  - 1. None presented.

**VI. Organization Reports**

- a. AISES
  - i. None presented.

- b. ASA
  - i. None presented.
- c. CNIA
  - i. None presented.
- d. Cougar Cheer
  - i. None presented.
- e. International Student Association
  - i. None presented.
- f. Meiningen's
  - i. None presented.
- g. Men's Rugby
  - i. None presented.
- h. MPIRG
  - i. None presented.
- i. SlamMo
  - i. None presented.
- j. University Register
  - i. None presented.
- k. Voces Unidas
  - i. None presented.
- l. Women's Rugby
  - i. None presented.
- m. Mock Trial
  - i. None presented.
- n. MoQsie
  - i. None presented.

**VII. Old Business**

- a. **For Action:**
  - i. None presented.
- b. **For Information:**
  - i. None presented.

## VIII. New Business

### a. For Action:

#### i. Morris Feminists Budget Balance *Presented by President Wolf*

1. MCSA owes a co-sponsorship of \$979.64 from last year.
2. Wolf stated this money will be taken out of the MCSA budget, and there is enough room to cover this discrepancy in the budget.
  - a. After paying the co-sponsorship and other expenses, the budget will be around \$1000.00.
  - b. The budget going into next year, the carryforward is \$900.00.
    - i. Motion passed to cover co-sponsorship.

#### ii. Tech Fee Allocations *Presented by Secretary Wealot*

1. [Tech Fee 2015 Minutes](#)
2. Schroeder motioned to approve Tech Fee Allocations; Second by Prince.
3. Wolf stated allocations will be whole dollar amounts.
4. Wolf stated that there may be multiple rounds per proposal; breaks will be motioned by Wolf to start and at member discrepancy thereafter; and the monetary amount MCSA is allowed to allocate will not be disclosed until after forum.
5. Wolf motioned to recess for 5 minutes; Second by Sunderman.
6. Wolf motioned to allocate \$1379.00 to Intramurals & Recreation; second by Carlson .
  - a. Dial motioned to amend amount to \$1,118.00; Second by Jacobson.
    - i. Dial stated the purpose for this motion is because the price given on the proposal does not match price given out by the university for purchase.
    - ii. Carlson asked if there is a difference in prices.
    - iii. POI: Hall read of the higher education price for ipads.
    - iv. POI: Langevin asked if cases are included; Wolf stated yes.
    - v. Amendment passed.
  - b. **\$1,118.00 allocated to Intramurals & Recreation.**
7. Carlson motioned to allocate \$0.00 to Intramurals; second by Prince

- a. Maudal stated tech fee is more for education than sports.
  - b. Batchenkova stated that in the proposal, there was no definite statement of who is responsible for the equipment.
  - c. Arnold cautioned the intentions of cutting sports versus education.
  - d. **\$0.00 re-allocated to Intramurals.**
8. Wolf motioned to allocate \$609.00 to Division of Science/Math/Chem; second by Jacobson.
- a. Arnold stated that if the Chemistry has an ipad, Nancy Carpenter can keep her personal ipad safe and the chemistry ipad can be replaced or maintained in an orderly fashion.
  - b. **\$609.00 allocated to Science/Math Chem.**
9. Wolf motioned to allocate \$3,250.00 to Alumni Relations & Annual Giving; second by Jacobson.
- a. **\$3,250.00 allocated to Alumni Relations & Annual Giving.**
10. Wolf motioned to allocate \$1,604.00 to ORL; second by Carlson.
- a. Koester stated that this is not in the area of tech fee and more of functional and infrastructure request.
  - b. Wealot motioned to amend amount to \$802.00; Second by Jacobson.
    - i. Wealot stated that this falls under the Green Reinvestment fund, which will be able to supply half of the funds.
    - ii. Dial stated that the other half of the cost should be covered by ORL.
  - c. Arnold moved to amend amount to \$810.00; Second by Miller.
    - i. Arnold stated \$1,620.00 is needed, and \$810.00 is exactly half.
    - ii. Amendment passed.
  - d. POC: Lipham asked if the money that is allocated through tech fee is not used, what would the money be used for.
    - i. Blodgett stated the money would stay in the Tech Fee account.
  - e. Wentz stated that this should not be supported by tech fee, but rather the Green Reinvestment fund.

- f. Sunderman stated that Intramurals should co-sponsor this investment, as intramurals uses the lake for intramural sports.
  - g. Jacobson stated that there may be room for ORL to pay for their half of the investment and that this project can wait another year. Jacobson stated we should maintain the purpose of tech fee and not fund this project.
  - h. Arnold stated that this should not be funded because it does not fit under technology. If intramurals would have been able to fund this, it would not have been brought to tech fee. This is not the correct place to get the money.
  - i. Carlson motioned to allocate \$0.00 to ORL; second by Sunderman.
    - i. Wealot stated that this project is crucial to student life and enjoyment.
    - ii. Farr stated that one light will not make any impact.
    - iii. Kim asked why tech fee funded hand dryers last year, but not lights this year.
    - iv. Motion declined.
  - j. Division of votes; 9-x-0
  - k. **Motion fails to allocate \$810.00 to ORL.**
11. Wolf motioned to allocate \$3,764.00 to Athletics; Second by TwoBears.
- a. Stangel stated that the fundraisers stated to have happened may have been faulty; there was lack of promise in the utilization in allocation funds.
  - b. Carlson stated that the baseball field is satisfactory.
  - c. Sunderman listed all the fundraisers that Baseball has conducted, to verify the fundraisers. Sunderman stated that the facility is very poor and has an effect on audiences and players. The players put forth effort in fundraisers.
  - d. Arnold stated that falsifications have not been proved true and should be taken out of the decision making context. Arnold stated this proposal will greatly propose facilities and help the athletic department.
  - e. **\$3,764.00 allocated to Athletics.**
12. Wolf motioned to allocate \$9,462.00 to Mathematics; Second by Carlson.

- a. Wolf stated that funds requested are only 50% and the Mathematics department is cost sharing.
  - b. **\$9,642.00 allocated to Mathematics.**
13. Wolf motioned to allocate \$765.00 to Sports Information; second by Dial.
- a. Lipham stated that only the cameras should be funded, not the accessories.
  - b. POC: Arnold stated tech fee can fund items that support the technology.
  - c. Schewe stated that if the accessories aren't supported, it would be difficult to use technology.
  - d. Arnold stated that some accessories should be funded, not all.
  - e. Wentz stated that accessories should be funded.
  - f. Dial stated that if accessories are cut out, only some sports could use it and other divisions might come to tech fee to request accessories again.
  - g. Farr stated all accessories might not be needed
  - h. Wentz CTQ; second by Prince.
    - i. Proposal CTQ.
  - i. **\$765.00 allocated to Sports Information.**
14. Wolf motioned to allocate \$1,043.00 to the Writing Center; second by Langevin.
- a. Wolf stated that the allocation from two years ago was found and provided in minutes to current forum members to review.
  - b. Wolf stated that we have funded this before.
  - c. Koester stated that it is in our favor to fund this proposal in full.
  - d. **\$1,043.00 allocated to the Writing Center.**
15. Prince motioned to reopen the Writing Center allocation; second by Carlson.
- a. Prince recommended attaching a note about updating software and working it into their budget since MCSA is less inclined to fund this in the future.
  - b. Prince encouraged forum to vote no to the allocation.
  - c. POC: Arnold stated there was no motion made to approve the note.
  - d. POC: Wolf stated two years can be cut into one year.
  - e. Carlson asked how many staff are working for the writing center; Wolf stated 15.



- f.** Blodgett stated that in last year's proposal, they stated all continuing costs would be covered by Facilities and Management.
- g.** POI: Jacobson asked for review of tech fee guidelines. Wealot reviewed guidelines.
- h.** Arnold stated that he is disappointed in the absence of this gap in last year's proposal. Arnold stated that more research should have been done on their part and this budgetary gap is their responsibility.
- i.** Sunderman stated that if we buy the filters from them once, it will be a recurring proposal for tech fee.
- j.** Reed asked if water fountains do not have filters, if they would be used?
- k.** Lerner asked if filters are necessary.
- l.** Goodsell stated this is an exceptional services to students, and their proposal includes future years which gives them time to build up a budget gap.
- m.** POI: Sundermann asked if Facilities and Management has the budget to cover this; Blodgett stated no.
- n.** Stangel asked if the amount can be pushed down; Arnold stated this amount is at a bulk price.
- o.** POC: Blodgett stated tech fee can add a note stating that tech fee will not further fund this proposal.
- p.** Koester moved to allocate \$2,156.00; Second by Sunderman.
  - i.** Koester stated that this will help fill the gap but not enable their budgetary gap.
  - ii.** Prince stated that it is hard to judge this amount if we don't know where the cutoff for the bulk price is.
  - iii.** Arnold stated the price per filter is only \$3 more without the bulk price.
  - iv.** Amendment passed.
  - v.** Farr stated that the difference between filters is \$4.50.
  - vi.** Jacobson stated that although this is not the use of tech fee, it is a crucial service to campus.

vii. Arnold stated that this is a misuse of funds.

viii. Dial stated that if this proposal is turned down, water will still be suitable on campus.

q. Division of votes, 21-x-0.

r. \$2,156.00 allocated to Office of Sustainability.

18. Arnold motioned to amend allocation to Office of Sustainability to \$0.00, second by Carlson.

a. Sunderman stated that the lack of pricing is a very irresponsible mistake.

b. Arnold stated this is a budget gap that should have been considered before proposing this to tech fee.

c. Lerner stated that filtered water is a necessity.

d. POI: Arnold stated the stations are being paid for now, and it would not be detrimental to decline the funding for this year.

e. Goodsell reiterated the importance of this service to students.

f. TwoBears asked if the proposer was apart of the budget last year; Goodsell stated no.

g. Batchenkova stated that the Office of Sustainability should retain some expense and be held responsible for upholding the original proposal amount.

h. Arnold stated the student driven initiative should not be taken into consideration when making this allocation.

i. Sundermann stated that these fountains come standard with filters.

j. Division of votes; 25-5-0.

k. \$0.00 re-allocated to Office of Sustainability.

19. Wolf motioned to allocate OCE \$146.00; second by Carlson

a. \$146.00 allocated to OCE.

20. Wolf motioned to allocate Deans office \$1,380.00; second by Reed.

a. \$1,380.00 allocated to Deans Office.

21. Wolf motioned to allocated IMT & OAS \$8,905.00; second by Arnold.

a. Arnold stated that this proposal is incredibly important and not doing so could pose a lawsuit risk to the university.

- b. \$8,905.00 allocated to IMT & Office of Student Activities.**
- 22.** Wolf motioned to allocate OSA \$1,100.00; second by Farr.
  - a. \$1,100.00 allocated to Office of Student Activities.**
- 23.** Wolf motioned to allocate KUMM \$839.00; second by Dial.
  - a. Prince stated that not having a system check for fixing is a statement that system is broken upon repair.
  - b. Stangel stated amount is \$839.00, not \$739.00.
  - c. Riley stated that even if system is fixed, it breaks after a few months.
  - d. \$839.00 allocated to KUMM.**
- 24.** Wolf motioned to allocate \$5,395.00 to IMT; second by Carlson.
  - a. Miller stated he is in favor of the proposal, but not full funding.
  - b. Prince stated that the proposal should be funded in full and be discussed later if cuts are needed to be made.
  - c. \$5,395.00 allocated to IMT.**
- 25.** Arnold moved to amend amount for HD Video Cameras to \$3,255; second by Carlson.
  - a. \$3,255 re allocated to IMT for Video Cameras.**
- 26.** Wolf motioned to allocate \$18,497.00 to Education Division; second by Arnold.
  - a. Sunderman stated that this proposal is crucial to ED students and passing student teacher requirements for licensure. Sundermann stated that there is a boom in the increased use of apps in the education system which ED students needs to become familiarized with.
  - b. Carlson stated that the strength of this proposal is strong.
  - c. \$18,497.00 allocated to Education Division.**
- 27.** Wentz motioned to amend allocation to \$15,646.00; second by Langevin.
  - a. Wentz suggested getting a smaller memory on ipads and getting ipad airs.
  - b. Reed motioned to include a note; second by Farr.
    - i. Motion passed.
  - c. Wealot CTQ; second by Dial.
    - i. Motion carried.
  - d. Arnold motioned to amend allocation to \$17,867; second by Wolf.

*Morris Campus Student Association*  
University of Minnesota - Morris  
Morris, Minnesota 56267

- i. Motion passed.
  - e. **\$17,867 reallocation to Education Division denied.**
28. Wolf motioned to recess for 7 minutes; second by Arnold.
  - a. Motion passed.
29. Wolf motioned to allocated \$858.00 to IMT; second by Riley.
  - a. **\$858.00 allocated to IMT.**
30. Wolf motioned to allocate \$500.00 to ACE/ Briggs.
  - a. **\$500.00 allocated to ACE/Briggs.**
31. Wolf motioned to allocate \$4,651.00 to IMT; second by Miller.
  - a. Arnold stated this total amount includes the Macbook Air.
  - b. POC: Cihawk stated although it was omitted from presentation, it was included on proposal.
  - c. Carlson asked if there is a cheaper option; Cihawk stated no.
  - d. **\$4,651.00 allocated to IMT.**
32. Arnold moved to amend amount for Portable Projectors to \$2,523.00; second by Miller.
  - a. **\$2,523.00 reallocated to IMT for portable projectors.**
33. Wolf moved to amend amount for Wireless transmission to \$0.00; second by TwoBears.
  - a. **\$0.00 re-allocated to IMT for Wireless transmission.**
34. Wolf motioned to allocate \$23,136.00 to Theatre; second by Carlson.
  - a. POC: Carlson stated that the sharing of light sources between OSA and Theatre is not allowed.
  - b. Wealot motioned to amend total to \$13,556.00 ; second by Miller.
    - i. Wealot stated that would be funding half of the lights, because the Green Reinvestment fund is covering the other half.
    - ii. Wolf stated that all proposals presuming to be funded by Green Reinvestment fund is being re-proposed for consideration under the fund.
    - iii. Brokamp supported amendment.
    - iv. Amendment passed.

- c. Carlon motioned to amend to add a note stating the encouragement of the GRF.
    - i. Amendment passed.
  - d. **\$13,556.00 allocated to Theatre.**
35. Wolf motioned to allocate \$930.00 to MPRIG; second by Arnold.
- a. Lipham inquired by the difference in prices.
  - b. POC: Wentz stated MPRIG entered in rough prices, and did not use educational amounts.
  - c. Arnold motioned to amend allocation to \$988.00; second by Prince.
    - i. Arnold stated this covers increase in price of ipads.
    - ii. Amendment passed.
  - d. **\$988.00 allocated to MPRIG.**
36. Wolf motioned to allocate \$598.00 to IMT; second by Arnold.
- a. Wolf stated this is an incredibly useful tool for outdoor events and the elimination of cords and increase in event safety.
  - b. **\$598.00 allocated to IMT.**
37. Wolf motioned to allocate \$18,630.00 to IMT; second by Carlson.
- a. Jacobson stated the amount of this proposal is necessary and will help students.
  - b. Carlson stated that this will help increase student learning.
  - c. Miller stated there is a mathematical error; the total should be \$300 more, totaling \$18,930.00.
  - d. POC: Cihawk apologized for error.
  - e. Jacobson moved to amend allocation to \$18,930.00; second by Sunderman.
    - i. Jacobson stated that there is enough in tech fee to cover this discrepancy.
  - f. **\$18,930.00 allocated to IMT.**
38. Miller motioned to reopen discussion on Classroom Technology Updates; Second by Jacobson.
- a. Wolf motioned to amend allocation to \$14,104.00; second by Carlson.

- i. Arnold stated that in the proposal IMT stated this can be moved to another year.
- ii. Prince asked about the intention of Arnold's proposal; Arnold stated that this is an amount is for projectors than TVs.
- iii. Motion passed.

**b. \$14,104.00 re-allocated to IMT.**

**39.** Wolf motioned to allocate \$10,000 to IMT; second by Schroeder.

**a.** Dial motion to amend allocation to \$4,000.00; second by Jacobson.

- i. Dial stated that in the presentation, 4 were only needed.
- ii. Batchenkova stated that the full amount can be funded and can be re-evaluated; but current classroom computers are perfectly functional.
- iii. Prince stated full amount should be funded.
- iv. Division of votes; 13-16-0.

**v. Motion fails to amend amount to \$4,000.00**

**1.** POI: Prince stated that there is a different tool each time for votes.

**2.** POC: Wolf stated all forum members need to vote.

**b. \$10,000 allocated to IMT.**

**40.** Dial motioned to reopen discussion on Classroom computers; Second by Arnold.

**a.** Wealot stated outdated computers can compose security risks.

**b.** Arnold states computers in classrooms are already used and new computers are beneficial.

**c.** Hall stated that outdated computers not on active directory pose security risks; most computers are on active directory and do not pose security risks.

**d.** Sunderman stated the importance of efficiency of computers in classrooms.

**e.** Wolf moved to CTQ; second by Koester.

**i.** CTQ passed.

**f. \$4,000.00 re-allocated to IMT.**

- 41.** Wolf motioned to allocate \$31,070 to IMT; second by Prince.
- a.** Prince stated this proposal is the epitome of tech fee.
  - b.** Arnold stated this technology is very beneficial to student academics and use.
  - c.** Lerner stated this is a more hands on learning approach that can be beneficial to student learning needs.
  - d.** Bishop stated that through personal experience, these are very beneficial to learning.
  - e.** **\$31,070.00 allocated to IMT.**
- 42.** Koester moved to amend amount for 3D printer to \$29,670; second by Jacobson.
- a.** Koester stated it is good to look at the other lump sum being requested.
  - b.** Wolf stated that the printer is the epitome of tech fee.
  - c.** Dial moved to amend amount to \$0.00; second by Langevin.
    - i.** Dial stated the cameras can go elsewhere.
    - ii.** Wealot stated that there are classes that are planning to be using it.
    - iii.** Wolf stated that Gary Wahl has a personal 3D printers currently being used.
    - iv.** Maudal stated a lot of this would be used for sciences, and more towards one division.
  - d.** Dial motioned to CTQ; second by Dial.
    - i.** Motion passed.
  - e.** **\$0.00 reallocated to IMT for 3D printer.**
- 43.** Wolf motioned to allocate \$4,598.00; second by Montbriand.
- a.** Reed stated asked if the Gopro can be used for Women's Rugby; Smith stated this camera is more towards the sole use of Women's Rugby.
  - b.** Arnold stated that even if Women's Rugby were to use the Gopro, it would not serve the same purpose as the requested camera.
  - c.** Farr stated that there is a difference between Rugby and School sports; the use of the Gopro would be given prioritization to school sports.

- d. Miller stated that rental cameras from IMT may serve the same purpose; Smith stated that Rugby prefers having a sole camera due to the hassle of signing out cameras.
  - e. Koester stated that this should be allocated because other sports' requests have been allocated.
  - f. Prince stated that the use of this camera will help increase safety.
  - g. McCroy asked if Men's Rugby has had any similar requests; Farr stated an ipad was given to the team from Tech Fee last year.
  - h. Montbriand stated that this will increase safety, because of frequent injuries last season.
  - i. Sundermann asked how many rugby players have prior experience; Smith stated little to none.
  - j. **\$4,598.00 allocated to Women's Rugby.**
44. Langevin motioned to amend allocation to \$0.00; second by Arnold.
- a. Langevin stated that personal equipment being used is fine.
  - b. Smith stated the team needs a camera due to checkout policies and photographers not wanting to risk their own equipment.
  - c. Maudal stated there are other services to seek out for cameras.
  - d. Lerner defend the safety purpose of this camera.
  - e. Wolf stated cameras can be checked out in advance.
  - f. **Motion fails to allocate \$0.00 to Women's Rugby.**
45. Langevin moves to re-allocate \$2,399.00 to Women's Rugby; second by Arnold.
- a. Division of votes; 12-16-1.
  - b. **Motion denied.**
46. Wolf motioned to fund \$27,331.00 to OSA; second by Arnold.
- a. Farr asked if this would be supported by GRF. Wealot stated yes.
  - b. Wealot motioned to amend amount to \$18,493.00; second by Dial.
    - i. Wealot stated this can be covered by the GRF.
    - ii. Amendment passed.
  - c. Sundermann motioned to add a note about the GRF to the allocation; second by Prince.



- ii. CTQ passed.
- f.** Dial motioned to reopen discussion on HD Studio Upgrade; Second by Wolf .
- i. Dial stated that this would be a good sacrifice that can come back next year. Dial stated that this can come back next year stronger, and that is something that is wanted rather than needed.
  - ii. Wolf motioned to amend amount to \$82,455.00; second by Prince.
    - 1. Wolf stated that this amount comes from a wandering list of items presented in the proposal. This amount will still be guaranteeing most of the fundings but cutting down from the original amount.
    - 2. Kim stated that without risk, there is no gain.
    - 3. Maudal stated that there are broad applications that this proposal can have. This is the perfect opportunity.
    - 4. Carlson stated that the proposal that there is an immediate benefit that from these cameras, but the cameras need to be cut if profit is not being seen.
    - 5. Batchenkova asked if any of the partners would give grants; Cihawk said no.
    - 6. Farr stated that this is something that can be a part of the mission statement of the visual arts aspect of the campus.
    - 7. Wealot asked if there is any possibility if money will feed back into tech fee; Cihawk stated most revenue is gone into cost sharing technology.
    - 8. Riley stated that before someone could use this technology, a certain amount of credits need to be completed and there is no strong need for this as of current.
    - 9. Langevin stated the UR could benefit from this studio.
    - 10. Lipham asked the importance of this overall project and input from Cihawk.

- a. Cihawk said the direct benefit for the students and advancement of technology.
11. Stangel asked how much one camera would cost; Cihawk stated around \$30,000 dollars and one camera would not suffice.
12. Koester inquired about installments and the possibilities; Cihawk stated the risk of this is not having a studio in 2016.
13. Langevin asked if MCSA would be able to fund the remainder after profits from PBS; Cihawk will return with a price.
14. Batchenkova stated that this equipment can affect the community as well as students and to keep an open mind.
15. Goodsell stated that if we fund it this year, the profits brought in through funding it can help raise revenue for the studio.
16. Prince reminded forum members that the new proposal is to fund equipment, not cameras.
17. Arnold reminded forum members of their duty of making decisions on tech fee.
18. Dial stated that chewing up other proposals is not worth it at the expense of this proposal.
19. Maudal stated that there is no correct way to do things.
- iii. Miller motioned to CTQ: second by Dial.
  1. 26-7-0.
  2. Motion passes.
- iv. **\$82,455 re-allocated to IMT.**
50. Wolf motioned to allocate \$13,145.00 to Anthropology; second by Carlson.
  - a. Lerner stated this is very beneficial.
  - b. Sundermann stated this would be helpful in recruiting social sciences majors and an attractive feature.

c. **\$13,145.00 allocated to Anthropology.**

51. Kim motioned to reopen discussion on Anthropology lab; Second by Jacobson.
- a. Kim stated much of the proposal is not needed.
  - b. Prince stated that this lab is needed and is deserved and should not be cut.
  - c. Koester stated this lab is a necessity.
  - d. Wentz stated this proposal is put together with the intention of complete use of allocations and that the price should not be slashed so heavily.
  - e. POI: Arnold stated that the proposer stated priority items are in order.
  - f. Wealot stated priority order is from top down on proposal.
  - g. Arnold motioned to amend reallocation to \$9,220.00; second by Prince.
    - i. Arnold stated this is the price of the priority items.
  - h. Carlson motioned to amend reallocation to \$9,100.00; second by Miller.
    - i. Carlson stated that the number of foot pedals will be cut down.
    - ii. POI: Hall stated SPSS is only on specific lab machines.
    - iii. Batchkenkova stated that cutting this proposal will not help the overall cause of cutting funds.
    - iv. Wolf stated \$7,920.00 is the amount of prioritized items.
    - v. Miller stated all different numbers do not add up.
    - vi. Wentz called to attention the cheap price of this proposal for a startup lab.
    - vii. Arnold motioned to CTQ; second by Dial.
      1. CTQ passed.
    - viii. **Motion fails to amend amount to \$9,100.00**
  - i. Arnold motioned to amend amount to \$7,920.00; second by miller.
    - i. Arnold stated this is the amount to cut to prioritize items.
    - ii. Prince re emphasized the importance of funding this in full.
    - iii. Wealot stated funding licenses, but not renewals.
    - iv. Division of votes; 12-18-2.
    - v. **Motion fails to amend amount to \$7,920.00**
  - j. Arnold motioned to amend amount to \$11,515.00; second by Carlson.
    - i. Motion passed.

- k. Wolf motioned to amend amount to \$11,815.00; second by Prince.
  - i. Motion passed.
  - l. **\$11,815.00 re-allocated to Anthropology.**
- 52. Wolf motioned to allocate \$4,366.00 to Athletics; second by Koester.
  - a. Sunderman stated that it will help analyzing soccer games.
  - b. Stangel stated other teams in the UMAC have better cameras.
  - c. **\$4,366.00 allocated to Athletics.**
- 53. Wolf motioned to allocate \$4,114.00 to EDI; second by Koester.
  - a. POC: Wolf stated this total is higher than the proposal because the shipping and installation was not accounted for.
  - b. **\$4,114.0 allocated to EDI.**
- 54. Lipham motioned to allocate \$0.00 to EDI; second by Wolf.
  - a. Prince motioned to add note to keep applying; second by Dial.
  - b. Dial CTQ by Langevin.
    - i. Motion Passed.
  - c. **\$0.00 reallocated to EDI.**
- 55. Wolf motioned to allocate \$7,350.00 to Chemistry; second by Koester.
  - a. Maudal stated that this is very important for the chemistry department and is used daily. Current licenses are slow and impact learning in a negative way.
  - b. **\$7,350.00 allocated to Chemistry.**
- 56. Wolf motioned to allocate \$3,080.00 to Chemistry; second by Koester.
  - a. Arnold moved to amend allocation to \$3,780.00; second by Bishop.
    - i. Arnold stated this increased price would support educational prices supported by university.
    - ii. Amendment passed.
  - b. **\$3,780.00 allocated to Chemistry.**
- 57. Goodsell motioned to allocate Chem Lab \$0.00; second by Carlson.
  - a. Hall stated that there could be refurbished computers used for this if there are leftovers.
  - b. Arnold stated we should fund proposal in full.

- c. Maudal reinforced the importance of these computers.
- d. **Motion failed to fund Chem lab \$0.00**

58. Wolf motioned to allocate \$5,058.00; second by Stangel.

- a. Wealot motioned to amend the total to \$3,771.00; second by Sunderman
  - i. Wealot stated the new total is due to the projector fee.
  - ii. Sunderman stated that after consultation with Cihawk, a refurbished computer would be free of charge in the lounge and the money used for the computer could be used for installation fee.
  - iii. POC: Cihawk stated there are not any imacs for the lounge.
  - iv. Prince asked if a Dell could be used in this projector; Cihawk stated yes.
  - v. POC: Cihawk stated there may be previous wiring in there.
  - vi. Stangel stated this consultation price was given after room evaluation.
  - vii. Koester stated it would be beneficial to give them a computer, as it is a basic student need.
  - viii. Prince stated this should be funded in full for the first round; expressed frustrations of lack of information from proposal.
  - ix. Jacobson asked what this number proposed is composed of.
  - x. **Motion fails to amend allocation to \$3,771.00.**
- b. POI: Hall stated that a Dell will need internet adapter, imac will not need one.
- c. Prince motioned to amend allocation to \$3,389.00; second by Carlson
  - i. Prince stated a microwave is infrastructure.
  - ii. Koester stated that a microwave should be funded.
  - iii. Wealot stated this type of infrastructure is supported.
  - iv. Bishop stated that the microwave currently in the Biology lounge is borrowed.
  - v. Farr stated that one microwave should suffice.
  - vi. Maudal stated that the microwave is crucial to the club because there is studying in there many hours a day.

vii. Lerner stated that the microwave is not that expensive, and that it should be funded.

viii. Batchkenkova stated that it should be funded for now, and can be dropped later.

ix. Prince CTQ; Second by Koester.

1. CTQ.

x. **Motion fails to amend allocation to \$3,771.00.**

d. **\$3,458 allocated to Biology Club.**

59. Wolf motioned to recess for 12 minutes; second by Arnold.

a. Motion passed.

60. Wolf stated the amount needed to cut is \$95,821.00.

61. Dean stated that MCSA should have a discussion prior to next tech fee to the allocation of continuing funds so that recurring proposals would have to continually be brought to tech fee.

62. Blodgett stated that a lot of software purchasing is going to end up going to licensing models, and that MCSA should consider for the future.

63. Blodgett stated that there is an estimated carryover of \$50,000.

a. Wolf stated that this should not be used as an out, but rather a cushion.

b. Dial motioned to CTQ; Second by Wolf.

i. Motion passed.

64. Goodsell motioned to continue making cuts; second by Langevin.

a. Motion passed.

65. Wolf motioned to use \$19,378.00 carryover towards Tech Fee; second by Langevin.

a. Motion to allocate \$19,378.00 to Tech fee denied.

66. Carlson motioned to propose an agenda; second by Wolf.

a. Motion denied.

67. Wolf motioned to fund IMT \$598 for wireless speaker transmission; second by Wealot.

**a. \$598.00 reallocated to IMT for wireless speaker transmission.**

68. Wolf motioned to use \$19,976.00 carryover towards Tech Fee; second by Dial.

a. Dial CTQ; second by Farr.

b. \$19,976.00 of carryover used towards Tech Fee.

**b. For Information:**

i. None presented.

**IX. Announcements**

a. None presented.

**X. Adjourn**

**XI. MCSA Committee Meetings**