

University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well

University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well

Campus Assembly

Campus Governance

3-15-1982

Campus Assembly minutes 03/15/1982

Campus Assembly

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.morris.umn.edu/camp_assembly

Recommended Citation

Campus Assembly, "Campus Assembly minutes 03/15/1982" (1982). *Campus Assembly*. 297.
https://digitalcommons.morris.umn.edu/camp_assembly/297

This Minutes is brought to you for free and open access by the Campus Governance at University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well. It has been accepted for inclusion in Campus Assembly by an authorized administrator of University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well. For more information, please contact skulann@morris.umn.edu.

University of Minnesota, Morris
Campus Assembly Minutes
March 15, 1982

On the Ides of March, the Campus Assembly convened to consider items remaining from its meeting of March 8.

Initially, Provost Imholte made announcements about the Regents meetings of March 11 and 12. Construction on the U of M Hospital has been delayed. Tuition increases were approved at a total of about 22 percent over the 1981-82 academic year. The state budget problem continues to nag the institution to a possible 4.6 million to 13.8 million future cut. No such cuts are real. They are now only possible future projections that could range from nothing to 13.8 million.

For UMM, the additional 1981-82 \$50,000 retrenchment figure is more accurately \$48,000.

Another 3.9 million is lacking to meet increases for civil service salaries. This equals about a 5 percent shortfall across the board in civil service monies. For UMM, that means about \$104,000 in these funds. The total present retrenchment figure for UMM would be about \$379,000 including the civil service amount.

The item from physical education was re-introduced for discussion. This was an action item from the Curriculum Committee. It was clarified that almost every PE major gets a coaching license. Other students outside PE who get a license must be in an elementary or secondary education program. About one-third are not PE majors. For the PE major, the present requirements for a coaching license are in the PE major itself but are not prerequisites for the proposed teaching license program. The Board of Teaching from which these changes have been mandated is a separate body to regulate various teaching licensure programs in the state. Because of the general mandate, other institutions' programs would be similar to UMM's. The course, Psychology of Sports and Coaching is one such mandated course or component. The component on anatomy is proposed to be incorporated in the kinesiology and physiology offerings (PE 3310 and PE 3320). It was noted that the BOT mandate is the first to establish strict limitations on the course offerings that affect the quality of a program.

The suggestion was made to group some of the BOT requirements under the coaching practicum and maintain anatomy and physiology as prerequisites. These prerequisites could be maintained in some measure through the advising program.

The question of PE 3226 Psychology of Sports and Coaching was raised again as possibly duplicating offerings already in the psychology curriculum. The credentials of the faculty teaching psychology in the context of the course were also questioned. No consultation with the psychology curriculum was made to discuss these issues.

Eric Klinger moved to amend the motion that the present changes be considered temporary until such time that the BOT mandate be modified. At that time, the program would revert to the presently offered program. No second.

Campus Assembly Minutes
March 15, 1982
page 2

Harold Hinds moved to amend the motion that anyone seeking a coaching license have an adviser on the physical education staff (possibly in addition to another adviser). The motion was seconded. The motion failed.

It was noted that the vote on this measure in the Curriculum Committee was close to a simple quorum. The secretary of the Assembly was requested to include the vote for the February 23, 1982, meeting of the Curriculum Committee in the minutes.

The vote on the motion was delayed by a motion from James Gremmels to recommit the item to the Curriculum Committee to discuss it again in the light of the Assembly's discussion. The motion was seconded. The motion failed.

The vote on the motion by a show of hands provided a majority for it to pass, 36 to 13.

The health education proposal was placed before the Assembly as an action item from the Curriculum Committee. The motion passed by voice vote.

Thereafter, the Assembly adjourned.

pt