

11-12-2018

MCSA Forum minutes 11/12/2018

Morris Campus Student Association

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.morris.umn.edu/mcsa>

Recommended Citation

Morris Campus Student Association, "MCSA Forum minutes 11/12/2018" (2018). *Morris Campus Student Association*. 174.
<https://digitalcommons.morris.umn.edu/mcsa/174>

This Minutes is brought to you for free and open access by the Campus Governance at University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well. It has been accepted for inclusion in Morris Campus Student Association by an authorized administrator of University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well. For more information, please contact skulann@morris.umn.edu.

Memo to: MCSA Forum Members
From: MCSA Executive Committee
Subject: Forum Agenda for Monday, November 12th, 2018.

The Forum will meet on Monday at 6pm in IH 109/ Cow Palace.
Called to order 6:02

- I. Open Forum**
- II. For Action: Approve Agenda**
 - A. Schneider motions
 - B. Moris seconds
 - C. Motion passes
- III. For Action: Approve [Minutes from 11.5](#)**
 - A. Westfield motions
 - B. Smith seconds
 - C. Motion passes
- IV. President's Remarks**
- V. Committee Reports**
 - MCSA Committees**

Academic Affairs	Campus Relations
Executive Committee	
First Year Council- First Year Council is meeting tomorrow night.	
Resources and Operations	
Student Services- Student Services is done with voting campaign. Shuttle was used and campus voters did go out to vote. The committee is looking into new initiatives like reporting hate crimes	
 - Campus Assembly Committees**

Assessment of Student Learning	Academic Support Services
Consultative - The committee is meeting tomorrow.	
Curriculum- Committee is almost done approving items and talks of replacing WLA with something more rigorous.	
Brown- has there been any more talk about a jazz class?	

Westfield- The committee has not approved but there may be more talking points in the future.

Faculty and P&A Affairs

Faculty Development

Finance

Functions and Awards

International Programs

Membership- Committee meeting this Thursday. Relooking at how membership is chosen because senior faculty is busier and junior faculty are trying to earn tenure.

Multi-Ethnic Experience- Committee is meeting Thursday.

Planning- Is planning on meeting tomorrow.

Scholastic - Meeting tomorrow after long vacation. Talking to admissions during meeting.

Steering- Will not be meeting tomorrow because of lack of topics but extending deadline for community hour until November 22nd. Vision and goals statements did pass and email regarding it was sent out. Will be talking about how to apply this to the school.

Student Affairs

VI. Organization Reports

APAC

KUMM- Life's good and exec is meeting tomorrow

Love Your Melon- same

MPIRG

BSU

MoQSIE

CNIA- Doing poster session tomorrow(13th) from 1-3:30 with Linda Black Elk.

Black Elk will also doing talk in the evening at 7:30 in science auditorium

University Register - Issue 5 on stands this morning and working on 6

VII. Old Business

For Information:

1. Listening Session with Regent Omari Tomorrow, Tuesday November 13th
at 3:00pm in HFA 45

Brown- Everyone is encouraged to go. He will be skyping in.

Brichacek- Another regent is coming as well, Regent Emeritus Ramirez, you should encourage anyone interested in the position to go.

Rosemark- Great way to meet the regents and learn about the hiring process of regents and what regents do.

For Action

1. [Facebook Forum Guidelines Review](#)

Brown- We need to review the guidelines because of it is in the guidelines

Brichacek- Does anyone have any issues with guidelines as they currently stand? Would you like us to go through them?

Westfield- Can you clarify what it means by every odd academic year.

Brown- The wording for that does read weird, it means every spring the guidelines should be reviewed because the spring semester will always be an odd year.

Bauer- So the guidelines will be reviewed every year?

Westfield- In the spring of every year?

Smith- Yes, the guidelines will be reviewed other every year.

Scovil- About 2(a), posts for for profit organizations, Stone's Throw had a post that was allowed on the forum so can you clarify what this means?

Brown- Stone's Throw did not post it onto the forum, a student shared the post for the information and did not request that purchases.

Brichacek- We can talk about this more if you want. No businesses looking to make a profit are allowed on the page.

Hassinger- Housing rental owners are making money and rental posts are allowed

Brichacek- Housing posts are posted for information but are not really a business.

Hassinger- But they are making money like this is fora form of business.

Brown- Would you rather not have this information available?

Brichacek- The wording in the guidelines right now allows for available rental property postings but we can change this.

Hassinger- What about campus organizations that charge to go into their event?

Brown- They are not for profit.

Hassinger- If there is money involved, there is money to be made which makes it for profit.

Brown- The point of the forum is to replace the listserv and keep us updated on campus events and these posts are informational.

Scanlan- The current language of the guidelines specifies that it is for profit, does anyone have issues with it not allowing for profit posts?

Brichacek- The wording that was used in the guidelines could be better.

Businesses offering services and amenities for students should be allowed but private people looking for money shouldn't be allowed.

Scovil- Should we move it to be endorsed by a student like the Stone's Throw post was created by a business but shared by a student.

Brown- If a business tried to post, we would deny it but do we want it to be more explicit?

Smith- It says that if you read it, what was approved was allowed.

Brown- Fundraiser tabling should be advertised and other nonprofit organizations should be allowed to make posts.

Rosemark- Student organizations are not a business and are not making profits. If anything they should be considered non profit because all profits go back into the organization. If anything we should clarify 2(c), Things violating specific groups or people or violate student conduct code. Can we specify that it is violent or malicious?

Brown- Is there any discussion on this?

Rosemark- Motion to amend 2(c) to read "posts that maliciously targets individuals and/or specific groups or violate u of m student code conduct".

Brisbois-Haubrich- I have a question about 2(c), if someone wanted to criticize policy of Michelle Behr but they used unfriendly language, would it be allowed?

Brown- Depending on the language, it would be allowed because she is a public figure.

Scovil- And it would be criticizing the policy not the person.

Brown- Is there any discussion over the use of the word maliciously?

Smith motions to approve the amendment

Schneider seconds

Motion passes

Smith- I think maliciously is the right word.

Brown- Anymore discussion on for- profit?

Smith- It is an assortment of the right words.

Rosemark- Should we revise it? I don't like it.

Brisbois-Haubrich- Should alumni be allowed to have membership?

Brown- That is a good question but let's revisit that after we figure this out first.

Schneider- Let's make it a little more clear so we can know that it is specifically not allowing posts directly from a business.

Smith- Is that what you want because you wouldn't be able to share one from a company but one can be made then posted.

Ayers- Moran- So it cannot be created by a company?

Brown- No

Hassinger- What about a post directly shared by a company?

Scovil- and submitted by who?

Smith- That's the right word!

Hassiner- But will this deter students from posting?

Westfield- What's the goal of changing the words?

Brown- For clarification on what is already in the guidelines.

Smith- To clarify this changes nothing about how the forum is operated but makes the guidelines more clear.

Scovil- Can we clarify in this amendment who can't.

Schneider motions to amend to "posts submitted by for profit"

Smith seconds

Motion passes

Barber- Can you clarify what it means by information consent.

Brown- This means anyone who posts information can only post their own information or information that someone has told them they are allowed to post. Otherwise the post is not allowed or is taken down.

Loechler- Have we had problems with this before?

Brichacek- No but we can remove a post if it did happen.

Loechler- Can we include it can be removed if someone wants it to be removed?

Brichacek- Do you have any issues reporting if your information is online?

Loechler- You said it hasn't been an issue

Abler- Are you wondering to what extent information is allowed to be posted without being turned down or second checked?

Rosemark- It is the responsibility of the person whose information is being posted to report if they did not give consent for it to be posted. If we see something that appears fishy we will check with the parties involved that consent was given.

Brown- No logical person is going to allow anything besides a name and email to go up.

Barber- Or if Parker wanted to post his own SSN

Brown- Well guidelines allows Parker to post it so I can't stop his mental breakdown.

Loechler- No one else seems on board for this so nevermind.

Smith- Writing amendments into policy on the fly in forum will miss the mark and end up messing up form administration or take forever so we should take the time to make it right the first time.

Westfield- General discretion is for moderations so I'll pass for today.

Brichacek- We are gather feedback today then approving this another time after sending it to Campus Relations committee to comment on and we will bring it back next week for approval.

Scanlan- Has E been a problem before?

Brown- A couple of times stuff that obviously has no point like a picture of an oven door and no words obviously is meaningless and they do not get approved.

Brichacek- Some people think some posts are pointless but my personal opinion was that it is beneficial so there might be room for improvement in the guidelines.

Scanlan- I'll ponder it and bring it backup and not forget about it.

Brichacek- It would be great to have something about after certain business hours of when we can post things because sometimes things are not approved on time and everyone has a personal life so language about something after 4:30 business hours or posts may not be approved by a certain time.

King- What about a 4 hour window to make it easier for you but also make sure everyone knows not to do things last minute?

Smith- That is good but I would say "suggest" and say "before 'x' hours or we cannot guarantee it". Guarantee also implies before that time it has to be approved but also sometimes things won't get approved at a certain before time.

Scovil- What would be more helpful to you guys- before 'x' number of hours or within certain hours?

Brown- It depends on case to case and sometimes both are needed but they are also separate issues.

Smith- I would say posts after 10 pm or they will not be posted until morning.

Brichacek- But sometimes they will get posted before morning and i don't want people to be upset that their post was put up when no one will see it.

Brown- Posting Guidelines section 3, does anyone have any comments?

Hassinger- It's good but we should reword this to include commenting

Brown- Should there be a separate section for comments or does this apply to comments because we have to allow comments?

Rosemark- The creators of the guidelines saw comments as posting.

Hassinger- Comments are moderated

Smith- A separate section would repeat a lot of things. I agree with Sam's assessment on the forefathers.

Brown- So maybe we can add a section so section 3 can be section 4 so we can have a section stating comments are posts.

Brichacek- Wording maybe ok but we should clarify that everything on the forum applies to following guidelines.

Schneider- are subject to following the guidelines

Brichacek- What about striking the entire section and adding it to moderation as a subsection “all elements of the page including posts and comments”. Point 3 of the guidelines would be point 3 of moderation. I’d actually like to make a friendly amendment to my amendment to make it separate points.

Motion passes

Brisbois-Haubrich- Do alumni fall under others?

Brown- Yes but do we want specific language about this?

Brichacek- I think it is ok for alumni but should we include something for parents because a lot of requests come in from parents and they are not approved or disapproved until someone decides to make a judgement call.

Brown- That becomes complicated and messy because of information release rights.

Smith- I have a wonderful caring mom and we should not allow parents onto the forum however, we are running out of time so we should ask Campus Relations to draft language.

Brisbois-Haubrich- A question about the appeals section, is it possible to appeal online?

Brown- They can do it by email or in person

a. Brown ends forum at 7:02 pm

VIII. New Business

For Information:

1. At Large Representative position open
2. MCSA is demonstrating rank choice voting at the International Carnival tonight- come join us!

IX. [Sustainability Update](#)

X. Announcements

XI. Adjourn.