

University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well

University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well

Campus Assembly

Campus Governance

4-10-1972

Campus Assembly minutes 04/10/1972

Campus Assembly

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.morris.umn.edu/camp_assembly

Recommended Citation

Campus Assembly, "Campus Assembly minutes 04/10/1972" (1972). *Campus Assembly*. 144.
https://digitalcommons.morris.umn.edu/camp_assembly/144

This Minutes is brought to you for free and open access by the Campus Governance at University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well. It has been accepted for inclusion in Campus Assembly by an authorized administrator of University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well. For more information, please contact skulann@morris.umn.edu.

Morris Campus Assembly
Minutes
April 10, 1972

Provost Imholte announced the following changes in student representatives for the Assembly:

Gail Brinkman for Gary Moore
Kay Slagter for Jerry Kern
Jerry Schwank for Pat Alcorn

These replacements are chosen in order of number of votes received in last spring's election results in the MCSA.

The coming election of the Executive Committee, faculty portion of the Consultative Committee (two members), and Parliamentarian was announced.

The meeting of the Board of Regents at UMM on April 14, 1972, was also announced.

The minutes of the Assembly for February 28 and March 6 were approved by voice vote with the change from Barb to Bob Morris as listed in the March 6 minutes.

Steve Granger on behalf of the Morris Campus Planning Committee presented for action the Recommendations Regarding Graduate Programs at the University of Minnesota, Morris proposal. After he reviewed the major points of the proposal, discussion followed the areas of concern as summarized below:

The relationship of the graduate program to the present undergraduate program - Concern focused upon possible competition of budgeting and staffing as well as possible conflicting areas of interest and purpose within a total program at UMM which includes graduate programs. It was stated that the proposal guidelines were meant to ensure that no such detrimental conflict of interests would occur in faculty responsibilities, budgeting, or undergraduate programs if graduate programs were introduced, and that the outlined procedures did, in fact, involve most aspects of the campus community in order to gain approval for graduate programming.

The implication of new criteria for faculty retention, promotion, and tenure in the criteria for graduate program faculty - It was suggested that points four and five on page five of the proposal be rewritten to ensure consistency with present faculty review processes. But, it was countered that these statements did not intend to add any criteria to the process now in use.

The "realistic" aspects of adding graduate programs in view of present retrenchment necessities and the wider economic situation in the country, particularly in institutions of higher education - This proposal might be an academic question, hardly more than that, because of present and possible future impossibilities of its implementation. It was countered that the

Assembly Minutes
of April 10, 1972
page 2

proposal was only concerned with guidelines to introduce such programs and not the substance or economic reality of implementing them.

The vote on the proposal was taken by show of hands and the proposal was defeated 40 to 19 with six abstentions.

pt