

University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well

University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well

Campus Assembly

Campus Governance

1-31-1972

Campus Assembly minutes 01/31/1972

Campus Assembly

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.morris.umn.edu/camp_assembly

Recommended Citation

Campus Assembly, "Campus Assembly minutes 01/31/1972" (1972). *Campus Assembly*. 115.
https://digitalcommons.morris.umn.edu/camp_assembly/115

This Minutes is brought to you for free and open access by the Campus Governance at University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well. It has been accepted for inclusion in Campus Assembly by an authorized administrator of University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well. For more information, please contact skulann@morris.umn.edu.

MORRIS CAMPUS ASSEMBLY
MINUTES

January 31, 1972

Ted Underwood chaired the meeting in the absence of Provost Imholte.

Three announcements were given:

Carol Dillon has been replaced as a member of the Assembly by Joan Antonson.

The next Assembly will meet on Monday, February 7, in Social Science 245, at 4 p.m.

Provost Imholte announced through a memo read by Ted Underwood that UMM had been reallocated its final three per cent in funding.

The discussion of the Cluster College plan from the Morris Campus Planning Committee continued. Ted Underwood read a memo from Provost Imholte giving the Provost's interpretation of a positive vote for the proposal as a commitment for growth beyond 2,000 and a tentative approval for a cluster college plan. Such a plan would be tentative until more questions are answered concerning future growth of UMM.

The question was raised concerning the meaning of a negative vote on the proposal as apparently against both growth and the cluster plan. Concerns again were voiced about the lack of any definition of program and goals for College B as well as firm goals set for the present college at Morris.

Eric Klinger as a member of the Morris Campus Planning Committee explained the deliberations of the Committee to arrive at this proposal as an attempt to deal with the dilemma of allowing for growth and expanded resources but preserving the quality of smallness and possibility for close relationships between faculty and students.

Questions were raised concerning the relation of committing this campus to a cluster plan when presently various funding and programmatic problems beset UMM.

John Diehl moved to return the proposal to the Committee indefinitely until they draw up a more detailed plan for a cluster college. After this motion was seconded a call for a quorum was made. The Assembly lacked a quorum by approximately five members. The Assembly then adjourned without any vote taken on the above motion.

pt