

University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well

University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well

Campus Assembly

Campus Governance

1-19-1972

Campus Assembly minutes 01/19/1972

Campus Assembly

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.morris.umn.edu/camp_assembly

Recommended Citation

Campus Assembly, "Campus Assembly minutes 01/19/1972" (1972). *Campus Assembly*. 117.
https://digitalcommons.morris.umn.edu/camp_assembly/117

This Minutes is brought to you for free and open access by the Campus Governance at University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well. It has been accepted for inclusion in Campus Assembly by an authorized administrator of University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well. For more information, please contact skulann@morris.umn.edu.

MORRIS CAMPUS ASSEMBLY
MINUTES
January 19, 1972

Provost Imholte announced that UMM had been reallocated three of the six per cent cut given in funding this year and that by February 11, 1972, we should know of the other two and a half to three per cent reallocation.

The meeting time of the Assembly was described by the Provost with a brief review of the results of the poll taken to attempt to determine a variety of times for meeting.

The minutes for the Assembly meeting of October 18, 25, and November ~~18~~, 1971, were approved by voice vote.

The following changes in committee rosters were given for information:

Incidental Fee Committee

Ken Krass for Ken Behringer

Financial Aids Committee

Dorothy Zimmerman for Bill McNab

Placement Committee

Bob Jamison & Beverly Anderson added

Special Programs Committee

Michael O'Brien for Matt Marx

Freshman Year Experience Committee

Gloria Braley and Ovide Pearson added

Housing Committee

Bob Iverson added

Minority Student Program Advisory Committee

Norma Perko deleted

Assembly Minutes
for January 19, 1972
page 2

A new ad hoc committee on the Status of Women at UMM was announced as follows:

Louise Bouta - student
Bruce Burnes - faculty
Lois Bursack - faculty
Leona Classen - faculty
Dorothy DeJager - ex officio
Linda Derosier - student
Karen Fox - civil service
Claudia Hanson - civil service
Dave Longley - civil service
Barbara McGinnis - faculty
Mary Martelle - civil service
Bruce Rheingans - student
Carol Swenson - student
Beth Tarara - student
Margaret Thompson - civil service
Norma Thorp - faculty

The recommendations regarding growth of the University of Minnesota, Morris, and a cluster college plan of campus expansion was placed before the Assembly for action from the Morris Campus Planning Committee. As chairman of that committee, Steve Granger reviewed previous discussion of the proposal in a faculty forum and continued to describe the essentials of the Committee's thinking to preserve a set of institutional characteristics, i.e., preserve liberal arts emphasis, the fact that size and growth are advantageous if controlled, and that the best pattern for growth is the cluster college plan.

After reviewing the ten recommendations of the proposal, discussion from the floor focused upon the following areas of concern or areas that need clarification.

The relationship between College A and B in a cluster plan and the relative autonomy of each within such an administrative arrangement.

The Ten Year Plan now being prepared is for this campus and need not relate to this proposal for a cluster plan.

On the basis of collected data, it was determined that UMM could grow to 4,000. There is a need for some kind of plan to allow growth, regardless of a commitment to Minneapolis. The assumption must be that if we do grow then we can move to a cluster college plan if this proposal is accepted.

Assembly Minutes
for January 19, 1972
page 3

The point at which one moves to a cluster plan was discussed. The number 2,000 is set as the upper limit and clustering could take place anytime before that when future growth seems assured.

Criticisms were voiced of the general nature of the proposal in so far as it did not define any programmatic direction for College B or go into detail concerning administrative, facility, and student-faculty relationships between each college. It was answered that this proposal constitutes a commitment for growth in a given form and that such details would be worked out by the committee after the Assembly would accept the proposal.

pt