

3-20-2007

Student Affairs minutes 03/20/2007

Student Affairs Committee

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.morris.umn.edu/stu_affairs

Recommended Citation

Student Affairs Committee, "Student Affairs minutes 03/20/2007" (2007). *Student Affairs Committee*. 68.
http://digitalcommons.morris.umn.edu/stu_affairs/68

This Minutes is brought to you for free and open access by the Campus Governance at University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well. It has been accepted for inclusion in Student Affairs Committee by an authorized administrator of University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well. For more information, please contact skulann@morris.umn.edu.

**UMM Student Services Committee
Minutes – March 20, 2007**

Present: LeAnn Hedquist, Dave Roberts, Gail Hockert, Corey Phelps, Adam Yust
Michael Eble, Joe Alia, David Swenson, Sara Haugen, Carla Riley, Mary Zosel

Not Present: Claire Lundgren, Brittany Jablonski, Adam Olson,

I. Approve Minutes February 13, 2007

MOTION: (LeAnn, Gail) To approve the February 13, 2007 minutes as written.

VOTE: Unanimous (7-0-0-)

II. Student Organization Advisors

Dave Roberts announced that the proposed policy was approved by electronic vote (9-1-0) and was subsequently forwarded to the Executive Committee for consideration.

III. Bias-Hate Crime Protocol (continued discussion)

Dave Swenson expressed concern about the focus of the document as it now exists. The intent of the original document was to address incidents that violated UMM's commitment to civility and diversity, incidents not addressed by the Student Conduct Code, the University's EEOA statement, or the sexual harassment policy. Swenson believes that language is lost in the procedures of responding to violations of the related policies.

A number of committee members felt the document was too convoluted and needed streamlining. The basic information of what to do and who to contact when an incident occurs should be brief enough to fit on one page. The procedures for responding would more appropriately be a second document, or a second portion of the document, that would be available for response team members or anyone who might advise someone of incident protocol.

The committee decided to return the document with recommendations. There was general consensus of strong support for the document and the response team concept, however they would like to see a single sheet developed for general use.

The meeting was adjourned at 8:50 AM
Submitted by Melody Veenendaal