

4-18-2006

Student Affairs minutes 04/18/2006

Student Affairs Committee

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.morris.umn.edu/stu_affairs

Recommended Citation

Student Affairs Committee, "Student Affairs minutes 04/18/2006" (2006). *Student Affairs Committee*. 78.
http://digitalcommons.morris.umn.edu/stu_affairs/78

This Minutes is brought to you for free and open access by the Campus Governance at University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well. It has been accepted for inclusion in Student Affairs Committee by an authorized administrator of University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well. For more information, please contact skulann@morris.umn.edu.

**UMM Student Services Committee
Minutes – April 18, 2006**

Present: Adam Yust, Jennifer Herrmann, Judy Riley, Katie Clark, Gail Hockert,
Dave Swenson, Nate Hilfiker, Nick Petersen, Michael Eble, Carla Riley, Joe Alia

Absent: Sara Haugen, Dave Nelson, Julie Pelletier

I. Approve Minutes, March 21, 2006

MOTION: (Nate, Katie) To approve the minutes for March 21, 2006

VOTE: Unanimous approval

II. Review Revised Student Employment Subcommittee Proposal

The Student Employment Subcommittee's e-mailed response to SSC concerns about the student employment pay rate proposal was reviewed and discussed. The SSC found they had additional questions after reviewing the response and decided to submit the following questions to the subcommittee prior to meeting with them at an upcoming meeting.

Questions for Student Employment Subcommittee clarification:

1. Does the Excel document include IWS \$\$ or is it only SWS & FWS \$\$?
2. Can you estimate the number of student jobs that would be lost at the Student Employment Subcommittee proposed rates? Student Services Committee proposed rates?
3. Would a student need to apply through Financial Aid for an increased workstudy allocation if they were awarded a position in the intermediate or advanced level?
4. The SSC would like to see templates of the position descriptions and the criteria needed to qualify for the intermediate and advanced levels.
5. By what date would the new position descriptions need to be written?
6. Who is consulted and what is the procedure regarding how FWS/SWS allocations are awarded and decreases in allocations are distributed among hiring units?
7. Will there be flexibility built into the new system? For example, Chemistry will not know the number of TA positions needed until classes begin in the fall.
8. Tab two contained a number of hiring units not included in the spreadsheet on tab one. Why were they not included? Also, neither page included some hiring units such as Humanities and Athletics. It seems their exclusion would significantly alter the expected impact as shown in the two impact summaries included in tab one.
9. How will stipends affect workstudy eligibility at the intermediate and advanced levels?

10. How will the financial needs of students not receiving workstudy positions be met?

11. When did the Twin Cities campus convert to this system of pay rates?

12. Is this system a mandate from the Twin Cities campus?

Meeting adjourned at 3:00 P.M.
Submitted Melody Veenendaal