

University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well

University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well

Functions and Awards Committee (Inactive)

Campus Governance

4-25-2019

Functions and Awards minutes 04/25/2019

Functions and Awards

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.morris.umn.edu/fawards>

Recommended Citation

Functions and Awards, "Functions and Awards minutes 04/25/2019" (2019). *Functions and Awards Committee (Inactive)*. 20.

<https://digitalcommons.morris.umn.edu/fawards/20>

This Minutes is brought to you for free and open access by the Campus Governance at University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well. It has been accepted for inclusion in Functions and Awards Committee (Inactive) by an authorized administrator of University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well. For more information, please contact skulann@morris.umn.edu.

Functions and awards
April 25th 2019, 11:40am-12:40pm
Welcome Center 210

Members present: Lisa Bevevino, Bonnie Gulbrandson, Elena Machkasova (chair), Steven Morgan, Michelle Schamp.

Members absent: Kellcee Baker, Andrew Brichacek, Brandon King

In these minutes:

- 1. Approval of minutes from Dec 14 2018 and Feb 13 2019.***
- 2. Discussion of the proposal to eliminate the F&A committee***

Minutes from Dec 14 2018 Feb 13 2019 approved with minor amendments.

Discussion of the proposal to eliminate the F&A committee:

- The proposal doesn't represent a major part of the work of the committee.
- Calls for nomination need to be sent out; need to figure out a coordinated timeline for multiple awards, also take into account timeline for the campus assembly (approval of SoC nominations) and of the spring awards ceremonies.
- Hidden work: we would need ad-hoc committees (representing all divisions; representing different constituencies, representing diversity...)
- Ad-hoc committees
 - are difficult to form (people are asked, and then refused - and diversity is not guaranteed)
 - the same people tend to be asked all the time
 - we don't know other service that a person is doing
- Scholar of the college requires a lot of reading and work and background that the Scholastic doesn't have and a lot of follow-up.
- We may lose diversity of SoC nominations since new and edge cases require a lot of discussion, information gathering, and follow-up. Interdisciplinary nominations may suffer
- Same time as URS which occupies a number of faculty so an ad-hoc committee for SoC or other spring awards would be a problem
- Special Events Coordinator - needs to be in touch with all of the awards
- New awards go through years of discussion before they get to the point of formal approval. An appointed group wouldn't be able to exist for that long
- This committee can definitely use admin support, but it doesn't mean that its functions are purely administrative
- The committee serving as a focal point for various awards issues

- It's unclear how proposed replacement forms of service would be reflected on CV and counted towards tenure/promotion
- There has not been much of consultation with the committee itself: only one conversation with the chair, and only recently

The meeting adjourned at 12:40
Submitted by: Elena Machkasova
Approved May 9th 2019