

University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well

## University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well

---

Consultative Committee

Campus Governance

---

11-13-2012

### Consultative minutes 11/13/2012

Consultative Committee

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.morris.umn.edu/consult>

---

#### Recommended Citation

Consultative Committee, "Consultative minutes 11/13/2012" (2012). *Consultative Committee*. 28.  
<https://digitalcommons.morris.umn.edu/consult/28>

This Minutes is brought to you for free and open access by the Campus Governance at University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well. It has been accepted for inclusion in Consultative Committee by an authorized administrator of University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well. For more information, please contact [skulann@morris.umn.edu](mailto:skulann@morris.umn.edu).

## Consultative Committee

November 13, 2012

Present: Heather Waye, Joey Daniewicz, Molly Donovan, LeAnn Dean, Bonnie Tipcke, Nancy Helsper, Ray Schultz, Zac Van Cleve, Jim Hall, Chad Braegelmann

Absent: Brook Miller, Jim Barbour

Meeting called to order by LeAnn Dean at 10:03 am

Sept. 4, 2012 minutes- Nancy Helsper motion to approve, Heather Waye second to approve. Approved- Braegelmann Abstained.

Oct. 9, 2012 minutes- correction per Helsper- change to "the gen. ed. Introduction was written by Bettina Blake" Also- II Wellness Plan- first paragraph- "...there was also discussion about the health coaching..." to say, "discussion about health coaching by phone."

Daniewicz motion to approve, Helsper second. Approved- Tipcke abstained

Oct. 23, 2012 minutes-Dean correction- ..."include library instructional media and technologies, grants development and other units in session for all new staff." Helsper motion to approve, Daniewicz second. Approved- Heather Waye abstained

Oct. 30, 2012 minutes- Tipcke motion to approve, Helsper second. Approved- Braegelmann Abstained

Nov. 6, 2012 minutes- Helsper correction, ..."RAR scores are problematic in that most MAY BE "above average..." Also, (page 2) "... there will be 6 people for each review group (academic, administrative, student support). ONE or Two people will review each program..." Donovan motion to approve, Daniewicz. Approved- Schultz and Hall Abstained.

Comments on RAR process- Emails have gone out to ask for team II participants. Tipcke asked about USA staff appointees. Van Cleve mentioned that a letter is being drafted to Chancellor Johnson about student involvement and the role for students. Talked about Chancellor Johnson having team III ready to go for right after break. Helsper said that her understanding was that academic reports are complete. Can we go out there to review what was submitted and make sure it is complete? Also, when should groups/could groups submit responses? Will we know who's on the committees?

Agenda items future meetings-

Comments about heat in Humanities and the classrooms- brought up with Lowell- where has that gone?

Talk to Angie about bike location in the spring- revisit it?

Talked about Consultative Committee website and what should be there-