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Consultative Committee Agenda/Minutes

Meeting date: 02/11/2016
Meeting location: Moccasin Flower
Time: 4 p.m.
Note taker: Brenda Boever

Members present:

_____ Kelly Asche   _____ Jayne Blodgett   __x__ Brenda Boever
__x__ Rita Bolluyt   ___x__ Rachel Brockamp   ___x__ Julie Eckerle
___x__ Lisa Harris   ___x__ Megan Jacobson   ____x__ Jane Kill
_____ Michelle Page   __x__ Ted Pappenfus   ____x__ Elsie Wilson

Agenda

- Approve minutes from Jan. 28 & Feb. 4 meetings
- Brief follow-up discussion re: Sandy Olson-Loy’s visit, esp. re: liaison for students needing housing/food services
- Plan for Feb. 17 Assembly report on Campus Governance, including discussion of discipline coordinator survey
- Update on semester-long agenda (see below)
- Update on co-chairs’ meeting with Jacquie Johnson & Bart Finzel last week (retention programming/staffing & grant updates)

Chair Julie Eckerle called the meeting to order. Two sets of minutes are pending and will be reviewed at the next meeting.

One follow up item regarding Sandy Olson-Loy’s visit from last week: support services and resources to meet needs of students in emergency housing and food situations are now in place. The committee was happy to hear that this is being addressed.

Governance Report to campus assembly.
Co-chairs Jayne and Julie met with the Chancellor to share content of the report to assembly regarding concerns with campus governance. The report shares concerns from campus community regarding governance and service issues. The report includes the process on how
the data was collected, broad themes of concerns, and recommendations to address the concerns. The chair felt that the feedback from the committee on report was helpful with the final edits. All committee members agreed on the content and organization of the report.

**Final report discussion and possible edits:**

- Assembly re-locationing to the Cow Palace may not work because it may not be big enough - perhaps the Recital Hall could be considered. This will be a Steering committee decision.
- Also return to the issue of time the assembly is scheduled is important. 4:30 is a difficult time; possible rotate times, days, etc? Is the current meeting time inclusive to all? (Administrative Committee)
- Is assembly currently video recorded? Can voting be online? (Steering committee)
- Work with supervisors to encourage staff to participate (Administrative Committee)
- Is there easy access to governance or knowledge of it’s function and purpose? (parliamentarian)

**Proposal for annual rating of the chancellor**
The committee received a document from an individual proposing an annual review process. The major points follow:

- 4 reasons why this process is good and useful
- draft survey to be used (based on other outside sources. This information was shared and received feedback from others on campus)
- no committee has responsibility for this issue
- would be a good way to provide feedback, then use for VC group eventually
- the chancellor is currently evaluated by Pres Kaler - not shared with campus and input not solicited from the campus
- Our constitution requirements for Chancellor review are not fully being met
- Could collect feedback in a conversational way to provide feedback
- Is this meant for conversation to improvement or more formal action?
- This issue be put back to CC and the constitutional review committee (refer to this in key pt #1 in the powerpoint to Assembly)
- Also forward to the Steering Committee for possible Assembly action

**Survey for Discipline Coordinators job description/work load**

- Proposed: Short survey to discipline coordinators on the scope and role of this position
  - hold off on this until we feedback from assembly presentation
- The Humanities Division has already started this process of reviewing the responsibilities for discipline coordinators
- No data available on campus wide workload/time commitment

A member mentioned that there was a self-initiated “360 review” for VC Rasmussen every three years. It was implemented by him for his own value, but this process is not used for all VCs
• should we make this a priority for bringing this process forward next year?

Members mentioned that it would be good to have assembly and other public meetings recorded. It opens the possibility for all campus community members to participate and will allow information to flow even for those who have conflicts that prohibit them from attending.

**More issues to consider:**

- HVAC in Camden

Individual registering the original concern regarding on the heat/air quality issues is not backing down. The co-chairs met with VC Herrmann and made specific requests and pushed him to be accountable. He will look into options. CC may also ask the Planning Committee to look into this.

Discussion about productivity for faculty and staff ensued.

**Additional Issues for CC discussions in the future:**

- Work issues Faculty of Color
- Review of potential meeting options;
- Sustainable financial models
- compact model for funding...how does it work and how does the administration share these decisions with the campus
- card key readers in sci/math and HFA
- stocking issues in TMC
- lack of food and beverages during break
- lack of access to food options in the summer
- summer school contract issue

**Chancellor Johnson and VC Finzel report to co-chairs on personnel issues**

Hire more staff for retention purposes

- $ from TC for these purposes
- Co-chairs recommended that they do a presentation at Assembly to show campus how this all works with grant funded positions, etc.
- They will visit with CC on 2/25

Respectfully submitted,
Brenda Boever