

University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well

University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well

Planning Committee

Campus Governance

9-13-2016

Planning minutes 09/13/2016

Planning Committee

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.morris.umn.edu/plan>

Recommended Citation

Planning Committee, "Planning minutes 09/13/2016" (2016). *Planning Committee*. 96.
<https://digitalcommons.morris.umn.edu/plan/96>

This Minutes is brought to you for free and open access by the Campus Governance at University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well. It has been accepted for inclusion in Planning Committee by an authorized administrator of University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well. For more information, please contact skulann@morris.umn.edu.

To:	Planning Committee	
	Participants:	Oscar Baldelomar, Melissa Bert, Brook Miller, Seung-Ho Joo, Sandy Kill, Jana Koehler, Tiernan Lenius, Mike Cihak, William Rasmussen, Bryan Herrmann (ex-officio), Gwen Rudney (ex-officio), Matt Senger (ex-officio), Alison Campbell (secretary)
From:	Engin Sungur, Chair	
	Present:	Oscar Baldelomar, Melissa Bert, Brook Miller, Seung-Ho Joo, Sandy Kill, Jana Koehler, Mike Cihak, Bryan Herrmann, Gwen Rudney, Matt Senger, Alison Campbell (secretary)
Subject:	<i>Meeting Agenda</i>	
	Date:	September 13 (Tuesday)
	Time:	3:00-4:00
	Place:	WC 122
Committee Website	http://committees.morris.umn.edu/planning-committee	
Committee Moodle Site	https://ay15.moodle.umn.edu/course/view.php?id=7767	

THE AGENDA

1. Welcome and Introduction (10 min.)

Item(s) the committee thinks we should discuss:

- Academic success coaches and retention
- Investments in instructional technology in classrooms
- Internationalizing the campus – discuss the study abroad programs
- Fundraising – possibility naming the buildings after donors – one way to increase donations
- 6 year capital plan
- Recruiting and retention
- Remember to pay attention to responsibilities when discussing these topics

2. Responsibilities, stages and components of planning, and integration. (10 min.)

3. Summary of 2015-16 Planning Committee activities (10 min.)

4. UMM Strategic Planning Approach

Alternatives: 1. Do not work on it and wait for the new Chancellor, or **2.** Continue to work on the expiring UMM Strategic Plan (2016)

- See what administration has in mind – vision of who should do it and a timeline
- Focus on agenda items that people want to look into
- Wait because we don't know who the next chancellor will be
 - Still work on goals
- Would be valuable to have a working plan to present to the new Chancellor in the first few weeks of arriving – include units. Goal is to give a long range plan and show what we have achieved
 - There are items that can be worked on before

- Ability for chancellor to buy into it and set goals
- Needs to be collaborative
- Need to have long range goals 10-15 years and 2-3 year goals
- Prepare template- take template and send to the related units and see what they have to say
- Engin started to delete the redundant items in the strategic plan
- Engin asked permission to move forward on preparing the template to send to the units
 - Sent template to Troy and Susan (didn't complete) – Bryan got feedback from Troy and Susan saying they were confused of what the goal of the template was and how much time to spend on it.
 - For Susan – so much has changed and been accomplished
 - Most goals have been met or still continuing – what is more important? – the presentation or the template (how much do you want for both?)
 - Is this the only time we will get to have a say in this process?
 - Make sure to let people know we are continually working on the plan
 - Put in the related units' old strategic plan info
 - Maybe have a meeting with the departments to talk about goals
 - Might be the case that we need to start fresh because past goals have been made
 - Do we need to start with something fresh and different
 - Maybe ask “Have these goal as stated been useful guides to the work you are currently doing?”
 - Make documents/data easily accessible to view
 - Need to capture the newer units as well – for example: academic success coaching
 - Who do we envision sending it to? – existing committee, departments, senior leaders
 - Representatives of the USA staff too
 - How long will the timeframe be for the units to give feedback? – 1 month
 - Should we present this as a survey?
 - Say just capturing data and will revisit and provide feedback later
 - Identify what items we are still working on and get rid of components that are met
 - There are a lot of cross over in other departments so it may be hard to break the plan apart and separate the areas – ex one stop

5. UMM Enrollment Management Plan

Issues: Integrating Challenging/Competing UMTC Enrollment Management Plan. Should we invite the Twin Citiesto present what they have to the Committee and Campus.

- Would like to Invite them here to talk with us about what their plan is
- TC has specific plan and goals on what type of students they are going after – where does UMM stand?
 - What are our gaps and what can UMM do?
 - Would like to see what our admissions Director's plan is and see if she needs any help
 - Jacquie has talked with them and addressed these issues
 - We should read what the plan is and then see what we can do

6. UMM Data Flow Planning

Any progress? – do we know where the data is? – Didn't get to