

3-4-2014

## Planning minutes 03/04/2014

Planning Committee

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.morris.umn.edu/plan>

---

### Recommended Citation

Planning Committee, "Planning minutes 03/04/2014" (2014). *Planning Committee*. 50.  
<http://digitalcommons.morris.umn.edu/plan/50>

This Minutes is brought to you for free and open access by the Campus Governance at University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well. It has been accepted for inclusion in Planning Committee by an authorized administrator of University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well. For more information, please contact [skulann@morris.umn.edu](mailto:skulann@morris.umn.edu).

## Planning Committee

4 March 2014

**Present:** Jon Anderson, Julie Eckerle, Lowell Rasmussen, Jane Kill, Arne Kildegaard, Jordan Wentz, Sarah Mattson, Michael Eble, Jim Barbour (minutes), Jim Hall

Meeting called to order at 11:00 am

Announcements:

- Bryan Herrmann will be here 30 18 March; get your questions to Arne by Thursday; Jon A. recommends we ask about Bryan's retention model, recruiting efficiency, and HS graduate outlooks
- Arne will also invite Henry Fulda re Housing resources
- Suggestions include Jeri Squier and Bart Finzel
- Question: Does IPEDS have data re our retention rate vs our peers? Arne asks Jon to contact Nancy Helsper about this.

Discussion: Our Review Document

- Jon reports from RAR Roundup meeting: Make regular reporting by units a part of the culture and normal operations; Make Reallocation a more routine thing; Jon offers some alternative language for our Final Recommendations Document
- Arne asks: Is resource allocation not connected to quality of work? Jon replies that they are connected.
- Jane K. asks: How do you decide allocation from these evaluations?
- Lowell suggests that it is a matter of scale: evaluate at the unit level, reallocate at the Campus level

Discussion: some word-smithing of the Recommendation language; Jon says that regular reporting is the key; we are near the final wording; the Committee consensus is that it is a good document; Arne reiterates the 48-hr time for offering changes or corrections

Report: Lowell reports on the Sightlines Report

- The study used campuses that are like ours with respect to Facilities Management
- UMM is on the brink of serious physical plant problems
- UMM is being outspent by our peers; we are sporadic in our spending based on bonding;
- We must put \$8.5M (vs the current \$4M) toward preservation of infrastructure
- Costs will continue to rise
- We need a multiyear Master Plan
- Right now we need \$63M to repair, maintain, and modernize
- The Mall buildings are big liabilities
- We spend less per square foot than do our peers
- UMM needs to approach capital investment differently than the University System; the current model is not working
- UMM can no longer participate in the "bonding lottery"

Our time having expired, the meeting was adjourned at about 11:55 am.