

University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well
University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well

Consultative Committee

Campus Governance

10-2-2012

Consultative minutes 10/02/2012

Consultative Committee

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.morris.umn.edu/consult>

Recommended Citation

Consultative Committee, "Consultative minutes 10/02/2012" (2012). *Consultative Committee*. 19.
<http://digitalcommons.morris.umn.edu/consult/19>

This Minutes is brought to you for free and open access by the Campus Governance at University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well. It has been accepted for inclusion in Consultative Committee by an authorized administrator of University of Minnesota Morris Digital Well. For more information, please contact skulann@morris.umn.edu.

Consultative Committee Minutes
10/2/12

Present: B. Miller, L. Dean, N. Helsper, H. Wayne, Z. Van Cleve, B. Tipcke, M. Donovan, R. Schultz, C. Braegelmann

For Approval:

9/25 Minutes, motion by R. Schultz, seconded by H. Wayne. Approved

9/11 Minutes, motion by N. Helsper, seconded by B. Tipcke. Approved with the following adjustments:

- Request to add a line concerning the removal of "Interim" from Bart's title
- Request to add a line to the tune of "we discussed the role of students in the next phases of RAR"

For Discussion:

Charge and Function of the Consultative Committee:

- A few word-smithing changes were made to the five bullet points
 - o "evolving and new" to "new and evolving"
- Compared our five bullet points with the language in the constitution concerning the committee
 - o Our points are more clearly understood
- Changes to the #4 bullet:
 - o "CC provides recommendations and planning services for new and evolving programs including, but not limited to, issues of campus governance when asked by interested members of campus or key stakeholders to do so."
- We want to make clear that we hear proposals related AND unrelated to campus governance
- The updated language will be sent to previous co-chairs and the Steering Committee for outside input

Speaking to the Gen Eds, regarding Bart's request for ideas

- Reconvene a Gen Ed Task Force or sub-group and make sure they have decision-making power
- The group created should have members from Curriculum and from Assessment committees
- A faculty member assigned to the review of Gen Eds may be deserving of release time
 - o R. Schultz pointed out that release time isn't always plausible for all disciplines, specifically the smaller ones
- A huge barrier is getting full reports from every discipline
- The time-line is 3 semesters/18 months
 - o Z. Van Cleve stated that the conversation could last forever
- Our recommendation for the makeup of the task force or sub group is
 - o 1 faculty member from the Curriculum Committee
 - o 1 faculty member from the Assessment Committee

- 1 master advisor faculty member
- 1 other faculty member (maybe from the Ed or Honors program)
- 1 representative from the Registrar's Office
- 1 representative from the Library
- At least 2 student representatives
- Staff support form the VCAA Office
- We recommend that Bart submits the reports to the Curriculum Committee
- We will have a discussion about the process next week
- This week we will report to Bart about the composition of the working group

Coming up, we will discuss the Orientation Programs, the Wellness Program (Sarah Mattson)

H. Wayne raised significant concerns regarding the RAR process and the numbers assigned to disciplines for internal assessment. There is a lot of confusion about the numbering process, worries about the outcomes of using 'false' numbers and a very rushed feeling. This will be coming up for discussion in the CC soon.

October 9, from 4:30 to 6 PM in Imholte 112 there is a Faculty/ P&A Affairs Committee Open Forum. We should make sure to have CC representation at this event. R. Schultz and L. Dean expressed interest.

Submitted 10/7/12 by Molly Donovan